Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/986,248	BELKNAP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	2173	

	DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	21/3	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
 (c) \infty They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) \infty They present additional claims without canceling a c 			ie issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-10,13-15,18-23,25-29,31,32 and 3</u>	34-36.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but it would have been obvious to combined the session creation and AAPA, as this allows for a defined period to be established.	ation and termination of Jones in the olished where communication between	e server query syster	ns of Halpern
and accomidated to allow for the transfer of data between			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	P10/58/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kieu D Vu/	nit 0170	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	IIIL∠1/3	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claims 8 and 28 does not correspond to claim 20 as claim 20 recites "the first order different from the order in which the object packing module packs the plurality of the objects" while claims 8 and 28 recites "the first order is different from the order in which the plurality of objects are received(/transmitted) by the client".